





Published Quarterly by The Society of American Archivists

The American Archivist

David Klaassen, Editor University of Minnesota Teresa M. Brinati, Managing Editor Society of American Archivists Karen Strauss, Editorial Assistant/Copy Editor

DEPARTMENT EDITORS

PERSPECTIVES Scott Cline, Seattle Municipal Archives CASE STUDIES Susan E. Davis, Madison, Wisconsin THE INTERNATIONAL SCENE Marjorie Barritt and Nancy Bartlett, University of Michigan REVIEWS Anne R. Kenney, Cornell University

EDITORIAL BOARD

Lewis J. Bellardo (1987–1991), Georgia Historical Society Edmund Berkeley, Jr. (1985–1989), University of Virginia John Daly (1987–1991), Illinois State Archives Susan Grigg (1986–1990; CHAIR 1987–1989), Smith College Howard Lowell (1988–1991), Oklahoma Department of Libraries Lydia Lucas (1988–1991), Minnesota Historical Society Philip P. Mason (1988–1991), Wayne State University Jane Nokes (1987–1990), Bank of Nova Scotia Nancy A. Sahli (1985–1989), National Historical Publications and Records Commission Roy C. Turnbaugh (1988–1991), Oregon State Archives

The Society of American Archivists

PRESIDENT Frank B. Evans, National Archives and Records Administration VICE PRESIDENT John A. Fleckner, Smithsonian Institution TREASURER Linda Henry, National Archives and Records Administration EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Donn C. Neal

COUNCIL MEMBERS

Nicholas C. Burckel (1988–1991), Washington University Libraries Richard J. Cox (1986–1989), University of Pittsburgh Maygene Daniels (1987–1990), National Gallery of Art Linda Edgerly (1986–1989), Consultant Linda M. Matthews (1988–1991), Emory University Archie Motley (1987–1990), Chicago Historical Society James M. O'Toole (1988–1991), University of Massachusetts-Boston Mary Jo Pugh (1987–1990), Consultant Joan Warnow-Blewett (1986–1989), American Institute of Physics

Cover note: The graphic on the cover is a representation of the matrix developed by the Working Group on Standards for Archival Description, whose work is presented in this issue. The three dimensions of the matrix depict three salient characterics of standards that might apply to archival description: who developed them, their strength, and the aspect of description to which they apply. For more discussion of the matrix, see pp. 452–54.



Volume 52 / Number 4 / Fall 1989

Editor's Note / 428

Special Section: Standards for Archival Description

Introduction / 432

Glossary of Acronyms / 436

Report of the Working Group on Standards for Archival Description / 440

Recommendations of the Working Group on Standards for Archival Description / 462

Lists of Resources

Checklist of Standards Applicable to Archival Description / 478

List of Manuals Providing Guidance or Instruction on the Description of Archives and Manuscripts / 494

Select Bibliography on Standards for Archival Description / 498

Background Papers Prepared for the First Meeting of the Working Group

Archival Description Standards: Concepts, Principles, and Methodologies / 504 Lisa B. Weber

Archival Description Standards: A Framework for Action / 514 David Bearman

Archival Description Standards: Scope and Criteria / 520 Richard V. Szary

Abstracts of Background Papers Prepared for the Second Meeting / 528

Members of the Working Group on Standards for Archival Description / 534

International Scene

A New Access System for the Vatican Archives / 538 Leonard Coombs

Reviews

Rassegna degli Archivi di Stato, reviewed by Luciana Duranti / 548

Szucs and Luebking, *The Archives: A Guide to the National Archives Field Branches*, reviewed by Sue E. Holbert / 550

Keswani, Acquisition of Archival Materials in Developing Countries, reviewed by Bruce I. Ambacher / 551

International Council on Archives, Proposta Para um Programa del Modernizacao dos Sistemas Arquivistocos dos Paises Latino-Americanos, reviewed by Virginia A. Newton / 552

Makower, ed., The American History Source Book, reviewed by Richard L. Pifer / 553

McGinnis, West Virginia Genealogy: Sources and Resources and Bell, Ohio Guide to Genealogical Sources, reviewed by Laura S. Kline / 554

Lowell, ed., Architectural Records in the San Francisco Bay Area: A Guide to Research, reviewed by Gordon O. Hendrickson / 555

Briefly Noted / 557

Selected Recent Titles / 559

Society of American Archivists

SAA Council Minutes / 560

Annual Index / 577

Postal Notice

The following statement of ownership, management, and circulation was filed in accordance with the provisions of Section 4369, Title 39, U.S. Code, on 28 September 1989, by Teresa M. Brinati, Managing Editor:

The American Archivist is published quarterly by the Society of American Archivists, 600 S. Federal St., Suite 504, Chicago, Illinois 60605. The managing editor is Teresa M. Brinati. The owner is the Society of American Archivists, 600 S. Federal St., Suite 504, Chicago, Illinois 60605. There are no stockholders, bondholders, mortgages, or other security holders in the organization.

The average number of copies of each issue printed during the preceding twelve months was 5,502; sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors, and counter sales were 0; mail subscriptions to members and subscribers were 4,296; total paid circulation was 4,296; free distribution was 116; total distribution was 4,412; and 1,090 copies were for office use, leftover, or spoiled after printing. For the most recent issue (Summer 1989), total number of copies printed was 5,323; sales through dealers and carriers were 0; mail subscriptions to members and subscribers were 4,190; total paid circulation was 4,190; free distribution was 116; total distribution was 4,306; and 1,017 copies were for office use, leftover, or spoiled after printing.

From the Editor:

DAVID KLAASSEN

Even a passing glance at the cover and table of contents will indicate that this is not a "typical" issue of the *American Archivist*. To be sure, there have been occasional theme issues in the past, and there will soon be another—devoted to preservation—three issues down the line. But the Society of American Archivists has seldom, if ever, seen an issue of its journal used as a vehicle to present the work of a committee or task force, particularly one set up independent of the society's own governing structure.

When Larry Dowler called last summer to inquire about making available the final report and recommendations of the Working Group on Standards for Archival Description as a special issue of the *American Archivist*, my initial reaction was guarded at best. Instinct told me that a journal's purpose is to promote and present dialogue through diverse reports of research, reflection, and description, and not to serve as the mouthpiece for a particular group's agenda.

Sometimes it pays to think twice. As I talked with persons familiar with the group's efforts and read the early draft of its report, it became clear that such a publication would fall squarely within the journal's stated mission: "to reflect thinking about theoretical and practical developments in the archival profession, particularly in North America; about the creators and users of archives; and about cultural, social, legal, and

technical developments that affect the nature of recorded information and the need to create and maintain it."

The working group was formed out of the conviction that something needed to be *done* to foster the development of standards for archival description. It quickly came to realize, however, that it must educate as well as act, advocate, or delegate. In his background paper prepared for the group's first meeting, David Bearman argued for the need to "present a coherent case to the profession, explaining what standards can be, what role they could play, what potentials we see, and what realities we recognize."

As an archivist I may agree or disagree with elements of the working group's recommendations or with the assumptions that underlie them-that is part of the dialogue we encourage. But as an editor I can only applaud the explanatory and educational aspects of what the group has done. That is why, as a nonparticipant with no pride of authorship, I feel particularly free to commend the significance and clarity of the working group's presentation to the AA's readership. All archivists can expect to profit from the discussion of the nature of archival description in an era when repositories and their holdings must be viewed as part of an increasingly interrelated web.

The original plan was to present the entire Standards for Archival Description package in this issue. But a funny thing happened on the way to the printer: too

Forum

much of a good thing. In order to keep this issue within manageable proportions (particularly given the inclusion of the annual index), it was necessary to shift some of the background papers to the subsequent issue. Included in this issue are the working group's report, recommendations, checklists, and three background papers that gave the project its overall shape. Ten additional background papers, prepared for the group's second meeting, will appear in the Winter 1990 issue, but abstracts of their contents are included here.

My involvement in moving this body of materials forward to publication, interacting primarily with Vicki Walch, made it clear to me that the working group functioned extraordinarily well as a unit. It is a pleasure to make their work available to the profession.